Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC

UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY

Federal Universal Service Support Mechanisms Fund Size Projections for Second Quarter 2010

> UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY 2000 L STREET N.W., SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-4924 VOICE: 202.776.0200 FAX: 202.776.0080 www.usac.org

> > January 29, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION1
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES AND INTEREST INCOME PROJECTION2
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES 2
INTEREST INCOME PROJECTION
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
EFFORTS TO PREVENT AND REDUCE IMPROPER PAYMENTS 4
FUNDING REQUIREMENTS6
HIGH COST SUPPORT MECHANISM6
Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) Designation
Interim High Cost Support Cap for Competitive Carriers
Verizon Wireless and Sprint CETC Support Phase Down
High Cost Rural Support
High Cost Loop Support (including Safety Net Additive and Safety Valve Support)9
Local Switching Support11
Interstate Common Line Support11
Interstate Access Support
Non-Rural Forward-Looking Support
Competitive ETC lines
High Cost Support Mechanism Summary13
LOW INCOME SUPPORT MECHANISM 15
Lifeline Support15
Link Up America16
Toll Limitation Support16
Low Income Support Mechanism Summary17
RURAL HEALTH CARE SUPPORT MECHANISM 18
Funding Year 2004
Funding Year 2005
Funding Year 200619
Funding Year 200721
Funding Year 200822
Funding Year 200923
Rural Health Care Support Mechanism Summary24
SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES SUPPORT MECHANISM 25
Funding Year 199825
Funding Year 199926
Funding Year 200026
Funding Year 200127
Funding Year 2002
Funding Year 200329

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDICES

HIGH COST

High Cost Support with Capped CETC Support Projected by State by Study Area – 2Q2010HC01
High Cost Support Projected by State by Study Area – 2Q2010
High Cost Support Projected by State by State 2Q2010
Rural Study Areas with Competition – 2Q2010HC03
Disaggregated Per Line Support by Zone – 2Q2010HC04
High Cost Loop Support Projected by State by Study Area – 2Q2010HC05
Safety Net Additive Support Projected by State by Study Area – 2Q2010HC06
Safety Valve Support Projected by State by Study Area – 2Q2010
Local Switching Support Projected by State by Study Area – 2Q2010
Interstate Common Line Support Projected by State by Study Area – 2Q2010HC09
Interstate Common Line Support Projected by State by State Dy Stat
Interstate Common Line Support Projected by State – 2Q2010
Interstate Access Support Projected by State by Study Area – 2Q2010
Interstate Access Support Projected py Clate by Stady Files – 2Q2010
Interstate Access Support Projected by State – 2Q2010HC14
High Cost Model Support Projected by Wire Center – 2Q2010
High Cost Model Support Projected by State – 2Q2010
High Cost Model Support Projected by Study Area – 2Q2010HC17
CETC Reported Lines by Incumbent Study Area
High Cost Loop Support – 2Q2010 HC18
CETC Reported Lines by Incumbent Study Area
Interstate Common Line Support – 2Q2010HC19
CETC Reported Lines by Incumbent Study Area
Interstate Access Support – 2Q2010HC20
CETC Reported Lines by Incumbent Study Area
High Cost Model Support – 2Q2010HC21
Interstate Common Line Support Projected by State by Study Area – Revised Projected 2008 to 2009 Program Year
Interstate Common Line Support Projected by State by Study Area – Revised Projected 2008 to 2009 Program Year per Line
Interstate Common Line Support Projected by State by Study Area – Revised
Projected 2009 to 2010 Program YearHC24
Interstate Common Line Support Projected by State by Study Area – Revised Projected 2009 to 2010 Program Year per LineHC25
OW INCOME

LOW INCOME

Low Income Support	Projected	by State b	ov Study Area –	- 2O2010	LI01
now meenie ouppon	. 1 10,00000	by blate b	y olday milea	-~	

APPENDICES

Low Income Support Available by State – 2Q2010LI02
Eligible Telecommunications Carriers - 4Q2009LI03
Quarterly Low Income Support Disbursement Amounts by Company - 4Q2009LI04
Annual Low Income Support Amounts by State and Company
through 3Q2009LI05
Historical Data: Support Amounts Claimed by ETCs Each Month –
January 1998 through December 31, 2009LI06
Low Income Support Distributed by State in 2001 and through 3Q2009LI07
Lifeline Subscribers by State or JurisdictionLI08
Link-Up Beneficiaries by State or JurisdictionLI09
Tier 1 Amounts Reported by All Companies 3Q2009LI10

RURAL HEALTH CARE

Funding Year 2004 Authorizations – 4Q2009	RH01
Funding Year 2004 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	RH02
Funding Year 2005 Authorizations – 4Q2009	RH03
Funding Year 2005 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	RH04
Funding Year 2006 Authorizations – 4Q2009	RH05
Funding Year 2006 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	RH06
Funding Year 2007 Commitments – 4Q2009	RH07
Funding Year 2007 Authorizations - 4Q2009	RH08
Funding Year 2007 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	RH09
Funding Year 2008 Commitments – 4Q2009	RH10
Funding Year 2008 Authorizations – 4Q2009	RH11
Funding Year 2008 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	RH12
Funding Year 2009 Commitments – 4Q2009	RH13
Funding Year 2009 Authorizations - 4Q2009	RH14
Funding Year 2009 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	RH15

SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES

Funding Year 2000 Authorizations – 4Q2009	SL01
Funding Year 2000 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL02
Funding Year 2001 Authorizations - 4Q2009	SL03
Funding Year 2001 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL04
Funding Year 2002 Authorizations - 4Q2009	SL05
Funding Year 2002 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL06
Funding Year 2003 Authorizations - 4Q2009	SL07
Funding Year 2003 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL08
Funding Year 2004 Commitments – 4Q2009	SL09
Funding Year 2004 Authorizations – 4Q2009	SL10

APPENDICES

Funding Year 2004 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL11
Funding Year 2005 Commitments – 4Q2009	SL12
Funding Year 2005 Authorizations – 4Q2009	SL13
Funding Year 2005 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL14
Funding Year 2006 Commitments – 4Q2009	SL15
Funding Year 2006 Authorizations – 4Q2009	SL16
Funding Year 2006 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL17
Funding Year 2007 Commitments – 4Q2009	SL18
Funding Year 2007 Authorizations – 4Q2009	SL19
Funding Year 2007 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL20
Funding Year 2008 Commitments – 4Q2009	SL21
Funding Year 2008 Authorizations – 4Q2009	SL22
Funding Year 2008 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL23
Funding Year 2009 Commitments – 4Q2009	SL24
Funding Year 2009 Authorizations – 4Q2009	SL25
Funding Year 2009 Disbursements to Service Providers through 4Q2009	SL26

OTHER APPENDICES

Universal Service Administrative Company 2Q2010 Budget	M01
Fund Size Projections for 2Q2010	M02
Schedule of USF Receipts, Interest Income, and Cash Outlays:	
January 1 through December 31, 2009 – Cash Basis	M03
January 1 through December 31, 2009 – Accrual Basis	M04

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC

FEDERAL UNIVERSAL SERVICE SUPPORT MECHANISMS FUND SIZE PROJECTIONS FOR SECOND QUARTER 2010

INTRODUCTION

The Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) hereby submits the federal Universal Service Support Mechanisms fund size and administrative cost projections for the second quarter of calendar year 2010 (2Q2010), in accordance with Section 54.709 of the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC or Commission) rules.¹

USAC is the not-for-profit corporation responsible for administering the federal Universal Service Fund (USF) and the universal service support mechanisms: High Cost, Low Income, Rural Health Care, and Schools and Libraries.² USAC also performs the billing, collection, and disbursement functions for the universal service support mechanisms.

Upon approval of the quarterly funding requirements for the universal service support mechanisms and the projected administrative expenses, and the submission of the contribution base amount, the Commission will establish a quarterly contribution factor. USAC will bill contributors on a monthly basis for their individual obligations based on the approved contribution factor, collect amounts owed from contributors, and distribute funds to eligible recipients based on the schedules filed herein.³

¹ 47 C.F.R. § 54.709(a)(3).

² 47 C.F.R. § 54.701.

³ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.709(a)(4), 54.201, 54.203, 54.515, 54.517, 54.301-54.307, 54.407, 54.413, 54.515 and 54.611.

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES AND INTEREST INCOME PROJECTION

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Section 54.709(a)(3) of the Commission's rules requires USAC to submit its projected quarterly budget at least 60 days prior to the start of the quarter.⁴ USAC includes any costs that can be directly attributed to the High Cost, Low Income, Rural Health Care, and Schools and Libraries Support Mechanisms in the projected administrative expenses of each mechanism. USAC's remaining joint and common costs including costs associated with the billing, collection, and disbursement of funds are included in the projected administrative expenses of the respective support mechanisms based on USAC's methodology for allocating costs submitted to the Commission.⁵

USAC projects a consolidated budget of \$31.07 million for 2Q2010. Direct costs for all support mechanisms total \$19.42 million⁶ and are listed for each mechanism in the chart provided below. Joint and common costs (including billing, collection, and disbursement activities) are projected at \$11.65 million and are allocated to each support mechanism as described in the chart below based on the allocation methodology on file with the Commission.

^{4 47} C.F.R. § 54.709(a)(3).

⁵ On January 1, 2006, USAC implemented a revised methodology for allocating joint and common costs that was filed with the Commission on October 3, 2005. *See* Letter from D. Scott Barash to Marlene H. Dortch regarding Revisions to the Method for Allocating Costs Among the Four Universal Service Support Mechanisms, CC Docket Nos. 97-21 and 96-45 (Oct. 3, 2005).

⁶ This amount includes \$70,000 budgeted each quarter for High Cost data collection performed by the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA)–an independent entity not affiliated with USAC. *See* 47 C.F.R. §§ 36.611-13 and 69.603. Although USAC is required to pay for the data collection, it does not have the ability to directly oversee the effort and cannot verify, monitor, or otherwise evaluate the cost of performing the data collection function. Consistent with the fiduciary obligations of its members to safeguard USAC assets and the USF, USAC's Board of Directors has requested clarification from the Commission concerning this matter. *See* letter from D. Scott Barash, USAC, to Irene Flannery, FCC (Dec. 10, 1999), Comments of the Universal Service Administrative Company to the *Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* in CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 01-8 (Feb. 26, 2001). *See also,* Comments of the Universal Service Administrative Company (Dec. 19, 2005), FCC 05-124 (June 14, 2005); and *Reply Comments of the Universal Service Administrative Company* (Dec. 19, 2005).

USF Mechanism	Direct Costs	USAC Common	Total		
High Cost	\$2.74	\$5.11	\$7.85		
Low Income	0.73	0.75	1.48		
Rural Health Care	2.43	0.39	2.82		
Schools & Libraries	13.52	5.40	18.92		
Total	\$19.42	\$11.65	\$31.07		

2Q2010 Administrative Expenses (in millions)

Appendix M01 provides USAC's administrative expense budget for 2Q2010.

Appendix M02 provides the fund size projections for 2Q2010.

INTEREST INCOME PROJECTION

For 2Q2010, USAC projects interest income of approximately \$0.14 million for the High Cost Support Mechanism, \$0.02 million for the Low Income Support Mechanism, \$0.33 million for the Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, and \$3.54 million for the Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism. USAC projects total interest income of \$4.03 million. As in previous quarters, projected interest income is being included as an offset to administrative expenses for each of the support mechanisms and reduces the amount that USAC will be required to collect from carriers for those support mechanisms that are funded at caps established by the Commission.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, on a cash basis, USAC disbursed to beneficiaries \$7,292.44 million in universal service support as follows: \$4,321.79 million in High Cost support, \$1,000.17 million in Low Income support, \$1,898.14 million in Schools and Libraries support, and \$72.34 million in Rural Health Care support. On a cash basis, the total cash balance available as of December 31, 2009 to the universal service support mechanisms was \$5,488.88 million. On an accrual basis, USAC had a fund balance of \$5,685.16 million at December 31, 2009.

Appendices M03 and M04 provide 2009 year-to-date statements of fund activity on a cash and accrual basis.

EFFORTS TO PREVENT AND REDUCE IMPROPER PAYMENTS

USAC has established a foundation of processes, systems, procedures, and outreach activities to prevent or reduce "improper" payments as defined by the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-300). USAC is also evaluating additional measures that may help to identify or reduce improper payments. USAC has initiated efforts consistent with its February 28, 2008 letter to the Commission identifying additional steps that USAC proposed to take to prevent or reduce potential improper payments and the additional resources needed to implement such measures.⁷ Commission staff has directed USAC to report on a quarterly basis its progress in implementing proposed actions to prevent or reduce improper payments and anticipated administrative costs of such actions.⁸

The steps initiated by USAC include additional oversight and managerial controls, strengthened audit and investigative techniques, improved information technology tools, and more effective use of outreach resources. In 2Q2010, USAC will continue efforts identified and initiated earlier in the year to help prevent or reduce improper payments, including:

1. Assessing and strengthening USAC's internal controls.

In February 2009 USAC received an assessment from the independent auditing firm engaged to assess the company's internal controls program and bring its already extensive

⁷ See Letter from D. Scott Barash, Acting Chief Executive Officer, USAC, to Anthony Dale, FCC Managing Director (Feb. 28, 2008, concerning suggested additional steps to reduce or prevent improper payments.

⁸ See Letter from Anthony Dale, FCC Managing Director, to D Scott Barash, Acting Chief Executive Officer, USAC (Aug. 18, 2008).

internal controls framework into full compliance with best practices consistent with the internal controls process defined in OMB Circular A-123. No material weaknesses were noted, but several control deficiencies were identified. USAC has remediated all identified deficiencies. Additionally, to enhance USAC's overall internal control framework and address the issues identified by the contractor, USAC hired three employees to perform the annual on-going, required internal control related documentation and testing.

USAC plans to increase validation checks for High Cost and Low Income (HCLI) Support Mechanism operations and Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism beneficiary compliance with the Children's Internet Protection Act (CIPA). The HCLI initiative is dependent on the FCC granting USAC the authority to collect the necessary data. USAC has started its expanded CIPA review process and the initial results indicate CIPA compliance is generally high.

2. <u>Strengthening audit and investigative techniques.</u>

USAC has been assessing Round 2 and Round 3 of the FCC Office of Inspector General (OIG) USF audit program audits where additional work is needed in order to reduce the number of disclaimed and adverse opinions and to provide specific amounts to be recovered. USAC is pursuing collection of all identified audit recoveries.

3. <u>Improving information technology tools</u>.

USAC prepared a request for proposals (RFP) for the software procurement and systems integration of the USAC and USF financial systems modernization (FSM) project. The goals of FSM are to provide a more robust, flexible infrastructure to support all aspects of USAC operations. USAC submitted the FSM RFP to the FCC for approval last year. USAC staff is working with FCC staff to obtain approval for software selection, integration, and deployment of the FSM.

5

4. <u>Expanding and enhancing outreach and education</u>.

In 2008 and 2009, USAC conducted seven HCLI Support Mechanism beneficiary workshops and nine online webinars to address carrier filing and document retention requirements, program rules and audits. USAC also conducted eight contributor and twelve Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism trainings: eight for applicants and four for service providers. The USF contributor training will continue in 2010. USAC conducted individual outreach to over 125 Schools and Libraries applicants in 2009, and this work will both expand in this area and extend to beneficiaries in the other three USF programs, as well. USAC has created 12 online learning modules to provide High Cost and Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism participants as well as contributors with guidance and instruction in following program rules and requirements. Additional online learning modules will be created to provide support to contributors and service providers filing various FCC forms, with some web content provided on a targeted level to address common errors or questions with form data. Additionally, the Schools and Libraries Division is providing customized outreach and education to every beneficiary, subject to an audit, to provide specific information on areas of program compliance.

FUNDING REQUIREMENTS

HIGH COST SUPPORT MECHANISM

ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER (ETC) DESIGNATION

A requirement of eligibility for receipt of High Cost support is that a common carrier must be designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) by a state commission or the FCC in accordance with Subpart F of Part 36 and Subpart D of Part 54 of the

Commission's rules.⁹ ETCs are eligible to receive funds from the High Cost Support Mechanism,¹⁰ which includes High Cost Loop Support, Interstate Access Support, Local Switching Support, Interstate Common Line Support, and High Cost Model Support. Together, the projected requirements for these five components of the High Cost Support Mechanism and USAC administrative expenses constitute the overall funding requirement for the High Cost Support Mechanism.

The High Cost Support Mechanism provides support to more than 1,800 study areas, including those served by both incumbent and competitive ETCs.

The table below contains the number of study areas served by rural versus non-rural carriers and rate-of-return versus price cap carriers.

Count of Study Areas by Category							
	Incumbent Study Areas						
	Rate-	of-Return					Total
	Cost	Average		Price	Total	Competitive	Study
	Companies	Schedule	Total	Cap	Incumbent	Study Areas	Areas
Rural	738	426	1,164	191	1,355	317	1,672
Non-							
Rural	2	1	3	83	86	273	359
Total	740	427	1,167	274	1,441	590	2,031

INTERIM HIGH COST SUPPORT CAP FOR COMPETITIVE CARRIERS

Competitive eligible telecommunications carriers' (CETCs) High Cost support was capped with the adoption by the Commission of an interim, industry-wide cap on the amount of high-cost support that CETCs may receive.¹¹ As of the August 1, 2008 effective date of the Commission's order, total annual CETC support for each state was capped at the

⁹ See 47 U.S.C. § 214(e); 47 C.F.R. § 54.201.

¹⁰ 47 C.F.R. § 36.631 and 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.301-54.303, 54.309, 54.311, 54.807 and 54.901.

¹¹ See In the Matter of High-Cost Universal Service Support Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Alltel Communications, Inc., et al., Petitions for Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers, RCC Minnesota, Inc. and RCC Atlantic, Inc., New Hampshire ETC Designation Amendment, FCC 08-122 (rel. May 1, 2008). Following publication in the Federal Register, on July 2, 2008, the order became effective on August 1, 2008.

level of support that CETCs in that state were eligible to receive during March 2008 on an annualized basis. Projections for each component of High Cost support will reflect both the capped CETC support amounts and the uncapped CETC support amounts. The total fund requirement, however, will include only the capped CETC support amount.

Appendix HC01 provides projected High Cost support with capped CETC support by state, by study area, for 2Q2010. HC01 also provides the projected <u>capped</u> amount of individual company support segregated by rural and non-rural status, and projected permonth capped amounts for the components of High Cost support that each ETC¹² may be eligible to receive. Appendix HC01A provides the projected <u>uncapped</u> amount of individual company support segregated by rural and non-rural status. HC01A also contains the projected per-month uncapped amounts for the components of High Cost support that each ETC¹³ may be eligible to receive. HC02 provides the total projected capped amount of annualized High Cost support for 2Q2010 for each state and territory. HC03 provides rural study areas with competition. HC04 provides disaggregated per-line High Cost support by zone, consistent with disaggregation plans filed with USAC.

VERIZON WIRELESS AND SPRINT CETC SUPPORT PHASE DOWN

Support to Verizon Wireless and Sprint CETCs are subject to additional High Cost support reduction as required by FCC orders.¹⁴ The 2Q2010 projected reduction for the CETCs is \$39.21 million. In anticipation of implementing these orders, USAC reduced the estimated 4Q2009 High Cost Support Mechanism funding requirements. However, in

 $^{^{12}}$ See 47 C.F.R. § 36.631 and 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.301-54.303.

¹³ See 47 C.F.R. § 36.631 and 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.301-54.303.

¹⁴ See In the Matter of Applications of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Atlantis Holdings LLC, For Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses, Authorizations, and Spectrum Manager and De Facto Transfer Leasing Arrangements and Petition for Declaratory Ruling that the Transaction is Consistent with Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act, WT Docket 08-95, FCC 08-258 (rel. Nov 10, 2008); In the Matter of Sprint Nextel Corporation and Clearwire Corporation, Applications For Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses, Leases, and Authorizations, WT Docket 08-94, FCC 08-259 (rel. Nov. 7, 2008).

accordance with Commission staff direction, USAC did not implement the orders as expected and is awaiting Commission direction regarding the appropriate implementation methodology. Thus, USAC will be required to collect additional funds in 2Q2010 in order to offset the reduced 4Q2009 collections from USF contributors. The amount to be collected is included as part of the prior period adjustments as described in the High Cost Support Mechanism summary below. In anticipation of receiving Commission implementation guidance, USAC's 2Q2010 High Cost Support Mechanism demand reflects a reduction in support to these carriers as it did in 1Q2010.

HIGH COST RURAL SUPPORT

High Cost Loop Support (including Safety Net Additive and Safety Valve Support)

High Cost Loop (HCL) Support is calculated based on the results of the annual collection of 2008 incumbent local exchange carrier (LEC) loop cost and expense adjustment data that was submitted to the FCC and USAC on October 1, 2009.¹⁵ Growth in total HCL Support for rural LECs is limited under Section 36.603(a) of the Commission's rules to the current level of funding increased yearly by the annual growth in supported rural loops.¹⁶ The *RTF Order*¹⁷ increased HCL Support for rural LECs effective July 1, 2001.

Rural incumbent LEC HCL Support for calendar year 2010 will be less than the level of payments for 2009 because of a rural growth factor of negative 4.5483 percent. Rural incumbent LEC HCL Support for calendar year 2010, therefore, is capped at \$962.00 million.

¹⁵ Universal Service Fund (USF) 2009 Submission of 2008 Study Results (filed October 1, 2009) (USF Data Submission).

^{16 47} C.F.R. § 36.603(a).

¹⁷ See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan for Regulation of Interstate Services of Non-Price Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 00-256, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-45, and Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-256, FCC 01-157, 16 FC.C Rcd 11244 (2001) (RTF Order).

Pursuant to the *RTF Order*, Safety Net Additive (SNA) Support is support above the HCL cap for carriers that make significant investment in rural infrastructure in years in which HCL is capped.¹⁸ To receive support, a rural carrier must show that growth in telecommunications plant in service (TPIS) per line is at least 14 percent greater than the study area's TPIS in the prior year. Carriers seeking to qualify for SNA Support must provide written notice to USAC that a study area meets the 14 percent TPIS trigger.¹⁹ For 2Q2010, projected SNA Support is \$18.74 million.

Also pursuant to the *RTF Order*, Safety Valve Support (SVS) is support above the HCL cap for rural carriers that acquire high cost exchanges and make substantial post-transaction investments to enhance network infrastructure.²⁰ SVS is 50 percent of the difference between the index year HCL Support amount and the HCL Support amount in subsequent years.²¹ SVS is subject to an overall cap of no more than five percent of the HCL fund in any given year.²² For 2Q2010, projected SVS is \$1.54 million.

For 2Q2010, USAC projected HCL Support is \$346.60 million. Of this amount, \$240.35 million is associated with rural incumbent carriers and \$106.25 million is the capped amount associated with CETCs (uncapped CETC support is \$135.83 million). In addition, 2Q2010 SNA Support is projected to be \$18.74 million and SVS is projected to be \$1.54

²² See 47 C.F.R. § 54.305(e).

¹⁸ See RTF Order at ¶¶ 77-90.

¹⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 36.605(c).

 $^{^{20}}$ See RTF Order at $\P\P$ 91-119.

²¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.305(c). In an order released on January 10, 2005, the FCC amended Section 54.305 so that it does not apply to transfers of exchanges between non-rural carriers after the phase-down of interim hold harmless support. See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, National Telephone Cooperative Association Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order and Order on Reconsideration, FCC 05-1, 20 FCC Rcd 768, 772, ¶ 9 (2005). In addition, the FCC amended Section 54.305 to provide that rural carriers that acquire high cost exchanges may receive SVS for the investment made in the first year of operating the acquired exchanges. Id. at ¶ 10.

million. Based on these projections, total 2Q2010 HCL support is projected to be \$366.88 million, and total annual 2010 HCL Support is estimated to be \$1,462.80 million.

Appendix HC05 provides projected uncapped monthly HCL Support payments by study area for 2Q2010. HC06 provides projected uncapped monthly SNA Support payments by study area for 2Q2010. HC07 displays uncapped SVS for 2Q2010.

Local Switching Support

ETC study areas having 50,000 or fewer access lines are eligible to receive support for local switching costs.²³ Local Switching Support (LSS) is the product of a carrier's annual unseparated local switching revenue requirement multiplied by its local switching support factor.²⁴

For 2Q2010, LSS is projected to be \$14.42 million for incumbent average schedule carriers and \$56.30 million for incumbent cost study carriers. In addition, 2Q2010 LSS for CETCs is projected to be \$27.50 million (capped amount). The uncapped amount is \$35.89 million. USAC projects, therefore, that \$98.22 million will be required for 2Q2010. Based on these projections, total annual 2010 LSS is estimated to be \$394.29 million.

Individual study area LSS projections per month are contained in Appendix HC08.

INTERSTATE COMMON LINE SUPPORT

Interstate Common Line Support (ICLS) is designed to permit each rate-of-return carrier to recover its common line revenue requirement, while ensuring that its subscriber line charges (SLCs) remain affordable to its customers.²⁵

²³ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.301.

²⁴ The LSS factor is defined as the difference between the 1996 weighted interstate Dial Equipment Minute (DEM) factor and the 1996 unweighted DEM factor.

²⁵ See Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan for Regulation of Interstate Services of non-Price Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Access Charge Reform for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers Subject to Rate-of-Return Regulation, Prescribing the Authorized Rate of Return for Interstate Services of Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket Nos. 00-256, 96-45, 98-77, 98-166, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 00-256, Fifteenth Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-45, and Report and Order in CC Docket Nos. 98-77 and 98-166,

For 2Q2010, ICLS for incumbent rate-of-return carriers is projected to be \$282.35 million. In addition, 2Q2010 ICLS for CETCs is projected to be \$149.60 million (capped amount). The uncapped amount is \$183.81 million. USAC projects, therefore, that \$431.95 million in ICLS will be required for 2Q2010. Based on these projections, total annual 2010 ICLS is estimated to be \$1,707.10 million.

Appendices HC09, HC10 and HC11 provide USAC's 2Q2010 uncapped projections of ICLS by study area, per line and by state, respectively. HC22 and HC23 provide the revised projected 2008 to 2009 Program Year ICLS by study area and per line. HC24 and HC25 provide the revised projected 2009 to 2010 Program Year ICLS by study area and per line.

INTERSTATE ACCESS SUPPORT

Interstate Access Support (IAS) helps offset interstate access charges for price cap companies.²⁶ The *Interstate Access Order* targets the support mechanism at \$650 million annually for five years.²⁷

The IAS projected industry-wide totals for 2Q2010 are \$33.27 million for rural incumbent carriers and \$84.21 million for non-rural incumbent carriers. The High Cost support Interim Cap²⁸ limits IAS CETC support to \$25.05 million (\$61.00 million is uncapped CETC support). USAC projects \$142.53 million will be required for 2Q2010 with total annual IAS estimated to be \$574.21 million.

²⁸ See supra n.11.

FCC 01-304, 16 FCC Rcd 19613 (2001) (MAG Order).

²⁶ See Access Charge Reform, Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket Nos. 96-262, 94-1, Sixth Report and Order, Low-Volume Long Distance Users, CC Docket No. 99-249, Report and Order, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Eleventh Report and Order, FCC 00-193, 15 FCC Rcd 12962 (2000) (Interstate Access Order).

²⁷ See Interstate Access Order at ¶ 201.

Appendices HC12, HC13, and HC14 provide USAC's 2Q2010 uncapped IAS projected by study area, line, and state, respectively.

NON-RURAL FORWARD-LOOKING SUPPORT

Under the most recent calculation of forward-looking High Cost Model (HCM) Support, non-rural carriers in 10 states (Alabama, Kentucky, Maine, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, South Dakota, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wyoming) are eligible to receive forward-looking support in 2010.²⁹

For 2Q2010, USAC projects \$79.99 million will be required for HCM Support, which consists of \$38.81 million for incumbent non-rural carriers and \$41.18 million of capped support for CETCs (\$50.87 million is uncapped CETC support). Based on these projections, total annual 2010 HCM Support is estimated to be \$319.52 million.

Appendices HC15, HC16, and HC17 provide USAC's 2Q2010 uncapped projections of non-rural forward-looking support by wire center, state, and study area, respectively.

COMPETITIVE ETC LINES

Appendix HC18 provides CETC lines reported for HCL Support by incumbent study area. HC19 provides CETC lines reported for ICLS by incumbent study area. HC20 provides CETC lines reported for IAS by incumbent study area. HC21 provides CETC lines reported for HCM Support by incumbent study area.

HIGH COST SUPPORT MECHANISM SUMMARY

The estimated 2Q2010 High Cost Support Mechanism funding requirement is \$1,119.57 million, which includes \$366.88 million for HCL Support (including SNA and SVS), \$98.22 million for LSS, \$431.95 million for ICLS, \$142.53 million for IAS, and \$79.99

²⁹ See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order and Order on Reconsideration, DA 03-4070 (rel. Dec. 24, 2003); see also Wireline Competition Bureau Releases Estimated State-By-State High-Cost Universal Service Support Amounts for Non-Rural Carriers for 2004, CC Docket No. 96-45, Public Notice, DA 03-4071 (rel. Dec. 24, 2003).

million for HCM Support. Sections 54.709(b) and 54.709(c) of the Commission's rules require USAC to adjust the size of the projected support requirements to reflect adjustments based on actual dollar amounts compared to the projections included in the prior period.³⁰ Increases in the size of the funding requirement for the prior period are added to the projected requirements; decreases from the prior period are subtracted from the projected requirements.

Results for the Fourth Quarter 2009 (4Q2009) contributed to an under-funded condition for which this filing proposes to adjust the 2Q2010 funding requirements. The total adjustment to the 2Q2010 fund requirement based on actual results will increase the funding needed by \$90.56 million. The explanation for the adjustment is described in the following table:

Reason for the Prior Period Adjustment	Adjustment in Millions
The 4Q2009 billings were lower than the projected revenues	
reported by contributors in their quarterly revenue	
projections.	\$6.47
High Cost Support Mechanism distributions were higher than	
projected in 4Q2009.	90.61
Interest income was lower than estimated for 4Q2009.	0.25
Bad debt expense was lower than anticipated.	(6.77)
Total Prior Period Adjustment	\$90.56

The total fund requirement of \$1,119.57 million, decreased by the CETC support phase-down for Sprint and Verizon Wireless study areas of \$39.21 million, increased by the prior-period adjustment of \$90.56 million, increased by administrative costs of \$7.85 million, and decreased by projected interest income of \$0.14 million, results in a total projected funding requirement for the High Cost Support Mechanism for 2Q2010 of \$1,178.63 million.

³⁰ 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.709(b) and 54.709(c).

Appendix M02 provides information on the individual components of the funding requirement for the quarter.

LOW INCOME SUPPORT MECHANISM

LIFELINE SUPPORT

All ETCs must offer Lifeline support to qualified low-income consumers.³¹ ETCs providing Lifeline support in accordance with Subpart E of Section 54 of the Commission's rules are entitled to receive funding from the Low Income Support Mechanism for the waiver of charges and reduced rates provided to qualified low-income subscribers.³² Lifeline support provides funding from the interstate jurisdiction of up to \$10.00 per low-income subscriber per month, which can consist of a baseline amount of between \$3.50 and \$6.50 (Tier One),³³ an additional \$1.75 per subscriber if the state commission authorizes a reduction in local rates equal to that amount (Tier Two), and up to an additional \$1.75 from the mechanism if the state provides support for the low-income subscriber as well (Tier Three).³⁴ Further, up to an additional \$25 per low-income subscriber per month is available to eligible residents of tribal lands (Tier Four).³⁵

For 2Q2010, USAC projects \$332.08 million will be required for Lifeline support.

35 47 C.F.R. § 54.400(e).

³¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.201.

³² 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.401 - 54.417.

³³ Tier One support, which compensates the carrier for not charging the subscriber line charge (SLC) to eligible low-income customers, ranges from \$3.50 to \$6.50. See Interstate Access Order at ¶ 70 (permitting the baseline subscriber line charge to increase for price cap carriers from \$3.50 to \$4.35 effective July 1, 2000, and to increase again from \$4.35 to \$5.00 effective July 1, 2001); MAG Order at ¶ 42 (permitting rate-of-return carriers to increase their baseline subscriber line charge to \$5.00 effective January 1, 2002); Cost Review Proceeding for Residential and Single-Line Business Subscriber Line Charge (SLC) Caps, Access Charge Reform, and Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket Nos. 96-262, 94-1, Order, FCC 02-161 (rel. June 5, 2002) (2002 SLC Order) (authorizing an increase in the baseline subscriber line charge for price cap and rate-of-return carriers to \$6.00, effective July 1, 2002, and to \$6.50, effective July 1, 2003).

³⁴ Tier Three support is equal to one-half of a state-funded amount up to \$3.50. For example, if a carrier operating in a state that has a matching program that provides \$3.50 in support through the matching program reduces its intrastate rates by \$10.00 for low-income customers, the customer would experience a total monthly rate reduction of \$13.50 (\$3.50 in interstate charges and \$10.00 in intrastate charges) of which \$10.00 would be funded from the federal jurisdiction and \$3.50 from the state matching program.

Based on this projection, total annual 2010 Lifeline support is estimated to be \$1,288.00 million.

LINK UP AMERICA

Link Up support compensates ETCs up to \$30 per eligible subscriber for revenue foregone in offering discounted service initiation fees to qualified low income individuals.³⁶ The *Tribal Order* authorized additional Link Up support of up to \$70 per qualified low income subscriber residing on eligible tribal lands.³⁷ For 2Q2010, USAC projects that \$21.63 million will be required for Link Up support. Based on this projection, total annual 2010 Link Up support is estimated to be \$79.95 million.

TOLL LIMITATION SUPPORT

Toll Limitation Support (TLS) compensates ETCs for providing voluntary toll-limitation service based on the carrier's incremental cost of providing toll-limitation services. The Commission has defined these costs as the costs that carriers otherwise would not incur if they did not provide toll-limitation service to a given customer.³⁸ Toll limitation consists of toll blocking, which permits consumers to elect not to allow the completion of outgoing toll calls, and toll control, which allows consumers to specify a certain amount of toll usage that may be incurred per month or per billing cycle.³⁹ For 2Q2010, USAC projects that \$4.51 million will be required for TLS. Based on this projection, total annual 2010 TLS is estimated to be \$17.03 million.

39 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.400(b) and (c).

³⁶ 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.411, and 54.413.

³⁷ See Promoting Deployment and Subscribership in Unserved and Underserved Areas, Including Tribal and Insular Areas, CC Docket No. 96-45, Twelfth Report and Order, Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Further Notice of Proposed Rule-making, (rel. June 30, 2000) (*Tribal Order*).

³⁸ See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8776 (1997) at ¶ 386 (First Report and Order) (subsequent history omitted).

LOW INCOME SUPPORT MECHANISM SUMMARY

The estimated 2Q2010 Low Income Support Mechanism funding requirement is \$358.22 million, which includes \$332.08 million for Lifeline, \$21.63 million for Link Up, and \$4.51 million for Toll Limitation Service. Results of 4Q2009 contribute to an under-funded condition, for which this filing proposes to adjust the 2Q2010 funding requirement. The total adjustment to the 2Q2010 funding requirement based on actual results will increase the funding needed by \$26.57 million. The explanation for the adjustment is described in the following table:

Reason for the Prior Period Adjustment	Adjustment in Millions
The 4Q2009 billings were lower than the projected revenues	
reported by contributors in their quarterly revenue	
projections.	\$1.49
Low Income Support Mechanism distributions were higher	
than projected in 4Q2009.	27.46
Interest income was lower than estimated for 4Q2009.	0.16
Bad debt expense was lower than anticipated.	(2.54)
Total Prior Period Adjustment	\$26.57

The total fund requirement of \$358.22 million, increased by the prior period adjustment of \$26.57 million, increased for administrative expenses of \$1.48 million, and decreased by projected interest income of \$0.02 million, results in a total projected funding requirement for the Low Income Support Mechanism for 2Q2010 of \$386.25 million.

Appendix LI01 provides projected Low Income support amounts by state and study area for 2Q2010. LI02 provides detail on Low Income funding amounts available in each of the states and territories for 2Q2010. LI03 provides a list of ETCs for 4Q2009. LI04 provides detail on quarterly company specific Low Income support disbursement amounts for 4Q2009. LI05 provides detail on annual company-specific Low Income support amounts through 3rd Quarter 2009 (3Q2009). LI06 provides historical data of monthly

support amounts claimed by ETCs from January 1998 through December 31, 2009. LI07 provides detail on Low Income support distributed by state for 2001 through 3Q2009. LI08 and LI09 provide subscriber information by state and jurisdiction for Lifeline and Link Up support, respectively. LI10 shows the Tier 1 amounts reported by all companies during 3Q2009.

RURAL HEALTH CARE SUPPORT MECHANISM

Following is a summary of Rural Health Care Support Mechanism commitments and disbursements for Funding Years 2004-09 as of December 31, 2009. Prior funding years are closed.

FUNDING YEAR 2004

Funding Year 2004 began on July 1, 2004 and ended on June 30, 2005. As of

December 31, 2009, a total of 2,945 FCC Form 465s were posted. In addition, a total of 4,739 FCC Form 466 packets⁴⁰ and 466-A packets were received.

As of December 31, 2009, funding commitments have been issued totaling \$32.12 million. Based on information provided by applicants, USAC now estimates Funding Year 2004 demand will be \$30.96 million. The Commission previously authorized collection of \$30.96 million for Funding Year 2004.

Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and disbursements made to service providers during 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices RH01 and RH02, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2005

Funding Year 2005 began on July 1, 2005 and ended on June 30, 2006. As of December 31, 2009, a total of 3,449 FCC Form 465s were posted and 5,549 packets were

⁴⁰ The term "packet" is used to describe the basic Rural Health Care Program application processing unit. An applicant may request support for up to four segments of a multi-billed circuit on the FCC Form 466. Each segment of the circuit is processed as one packet. A Form 466-A constitutes one packet for USAC tracking purposes.

received. Applications increased in Funding Year 2005 due to the one time provision of Rural Health Care support under the Hurricane Katrina Relief Order.⁴¹

The status of Funding Year 2005 packets is provided in the following table:

FUNDING YEAR 2005 PACKET PROCESSING							
Packets Completely Processed	4,821						
Packets Awaiting Supervisory Approval Only	0						
Complete – Ready to Process	0						
Withdrawn by Applicant	537						
Denied	135						
Duplicate	56						
Incomplete – Require Forms and/or Clarification	0						
Total Packets Received as of 12/31/2009 for Funding Year 2005	5,549						

As of December 31, 2009, funding commitments have been issued totaling \$41.54 million. Based on information provided by applicants, USAC now estimates Funding Year 2005 demand will be \$40.75 million. The Commission previously authorized collection of \$40.76 million for Funding Year 2005. Considering the revised demand estimate for Funding Year 2005, USAC recommends the Commission decrease collections for Funding Year 2005 by \$0.01 million in 2Q2010.

Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and disbursements made to service

providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices RH03 and RH04, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2006

Funding Year 2006 began on July 1, 2006 and ended on June 30, 2007. The filing window for Funding Year 2006 opened on March 13, 2006.

⁴¹ See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, Lifeline and Link-Up, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 02-60, 03-109, Order, FCC 05-178 (rel. Oct. 14, 2005) (Katrina Order). In addition, the Commission had previously taken steps to provide relief to parties affected by Hurricane Katrina by extending deadlines and modifying recordkeeping and other USF procedural requirements. See Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, Lifeline and Link-Up, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 02-60, 03-109, CC Docket No. 96-45, DA 05-2484 (rel. Sept. 21, 2005).

On September 29, 2006, the FCC released an order establishing a new two-year Rural Health Care Support Mechanism Pilot Program for Funding Years 2006 and 2007 to cover "up to 85 percent of an applicant's costs of deploying a dedicated broadband network."⁴² The application window for the Pilot Program opened on March 8, 2007 and closed on May 7, 2007.⁴³ On November 19, 2007, the FCC released an order increasing the number of funding years to three for the Pilot Program and awarding \$417.78 million in support to 69 applications over funding years 2007, 2008 and 2009.⁴⁴ Support under the Pilot Program is capped at \$139.26 million in each of the three funding years. The Commission stated that monies collected in funding year 2006 for the Pilot Program should be applied to Funding Year 2007,⁴⁵ resulting in \$54.44 million of funds collected for Funding Year 2006 being reallocated to Funding Year 2007.

FUNDING YEAR 2006 PACKET PROCESSING						
Packets Completely Processed	5,226					
Packets Awaiting Supervisory Approval Only	0					
Complete – Ready to Process	0					
Withdrawn by Applicant	520					
Denied	159					
Duplicate	12					
Incomplete – Require Forms and/or Clarification	0					
Total Packets Received as of 12/31/2009 for Funding Year 2006	5,917					

The status of Funding Year 2006 packets is provided in the following table:

⁴² In the Matter of the Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, FCC 06-144, 2006 WL 2819579 (F.C.C.) (rel. Sept. 29, 2006). See also, Wireline Competition Bureau Seeks Comment on the Petition for Reconsideration or, in the Alternative, Clarification Filed by National Lambadarail, Inc., WC 02-60, DA 06-2279 (rel. November 6, 2006); FCC Expands Eligibility to Include National LambdaRail's Backbone in Rural Health Care Pilot, FCC 07-6 (rel. February 7, 2007).

⁴³ Wireline Competition Bureau Announces OMB Approval of the Rural Health Care Pilot Program Information Collection Requirements and the Deadline for Filing Applications, DA 07-1188 (rel. March 9, 2007).

⁴⁴ See In the Matter of the Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, FCC 07-198 (rel. November 19, 2007).

As of December 31, 2009, primary program funding commitments have been issued totaling \$46.27 million. Based on information provided by applicants, USAC now estimates Funding Year 2006 primary program demand will be \$45.49 million. The Commission previously authorized collection of \$45.38 million for Funding Year 2006. Considering the revised primary program demand estimate for Funding Year 2006, USAC recommends the Commission increase collections for Funding Year 2006 of the primary program by \$0.11 million in 2Q2010.

Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and disbursements made to service providers during 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices RH05 and RH06, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2007

Funding Year 2007 began on July 1, 2007 and ended on June 30, 2008. The filing window for Funding Year 2007 opened on March 12, 2007. As of December 31, 2009, a total of 3,564 Form 465s were posted and 6,775 packets were received.

The status of Funding Year 2007 packets is provided in the following table:

FUNDING YEAR 2007 PACKET PROCESSING							
Packets Completely Processed	6,035						
In Review	1						
Withdrawn by Applicant	472						
Denied	237						
Duplicate	30						
Incomplete – Require Forms and/or Clarification	0						
Total Packets Received as of 12/31/2009 for Funding Year 2007	6,775						

As of December 31, 2009, Pilot Program funding commitments have been issued totaling \$0.47 million. Based on information provided by applicants, USAC now estimates Funding Year 2007 Pilot Program demand will be \$0.47 million. In accordance with the instructions in a January 17, 2008 letter from the Chief of the FCC Wireline Competition

Bureau allowing Pilot Program participants to roll forward support not used in one year to a subsequent funding year, USAC carried forward funds not used in Funding Year 2007 to Funding Year 2008 and Funding Year 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, primary program funding commitments have been issued totaling \$56.46 million. Based on information provided by applicants USAC estimates Funding Year 2007 primary program demand will be \$55.46 million. The Commission previously authorized collection of \$55.04 million for Funding Year 2007. Considering the revised primary program demand estimate for Funding Year 2007, USAC recommends the Commission increase collections for Funding Year 2007 of the primary program by \$0.42 million in 2Q2010.

USAC projects, therefore, that \$0.42 million will be required in total collection from USF contributors for Funding Year 2007 in 2Q2010.

Funding commitments made during 4Q2009 are included in Appendix RH07. Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and disbursements made to service providers during 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices RH08 and RH09, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2008

Funding Year 2008 began on July 1, 2008 and ended on June 30, 2009. The window for Funding Year 2008 opened on April 8, 2008.

As of December 31, 2009, Pilot Program funding commitments have been issued totaling \$16.75 million for Funding Year 2008. Based on information provided by applicants, USAC now estimates Funding Year 2008 Pilot Program demand will be \$16.75 million.

In accordance with the instructions in a January 17, 2008 letter from the Chief of the FCC Wireline Competition Bureau allowing Pilot Program participants to roll forward

22

support not used in one year to a subsequent funding year, USAC carried forward funds not used in Funding Year 2008 to Funding Year 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, primary program funding commitments have been issued totaling \$55.08 million. Based on information provided by applicants USAC estimates Funding Year 2008 primary program demand will be \$60.85 million. The Commission previously authorized collection of \$61.15 million for Funding Year 2007. Considering the revised primary program demand estimate for Funding Year 2008, USAC recommends the Commission decrease collections for Funding Year 2008 of the primary program by \$0.30 million in 2Q2010.

Funding commitments made during 4Q2009 are included in Appendix RH10. Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and disbursements made to service providers during 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices RH11 and RH12, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2009

Funding Year 2009 began on July 1, 2009 and will end on June 30, 2010. The window for Funding Year 2009 opened on April 9, 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, Pilot Program funding commitments have been issued totaling \$13.24 million for Funding Year 2009. Based on information provided by applicants, USAC now estimates Funding Year 2009 Pilot Program demand will be \$400.56 million. USAC recommends the Commission collect \$34.82 million in 2Q2010 for the balance of the projected commitments for the Pilot Program.

As of December 31, 2009, primary program funding commitments have been issued totaling \$3.81 million. Based on information provided by applicants, USAC now estimates Funding Year 2009 primary program demand will be \$68.21 million. The Commission previously authorized collection of \$50.87 million for Funding Year 2009. Considering the

23

revised primary program demand estimate for Funding Year 2009, USAC recommends the Commission increase collections for Funding Year 2009 of the primary program by \$17.34 million in 2Q2010.

USAC projects, therefore, that \$52.16 million will be required in total collection from USF contributors for Funding Year 2009 in 2Q2010.

Funding commitments made during 4Q2009 are included in Appendix RH13.

Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and disbursements made to service

providers during 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices RH14 and RH15, respectively.

RURAL HEALTH CARE SUPPORT MECHANISM SUMMARY

The estimated 2Q2010 Rural Health Care Support Mechanism demand requirement is \$52.38 million (\$52.16 million for Funding Year 2009, minus \$0.30 million for Funding Year 2008, plus \$0.42 million for Funding Year 2007, plus \$0.11 million for Funding Year 2006, minus \$0.01 million for Funding Year 2005).

The results of 4Q2009 contributed to an under-funded condition for which this filing proposes to adjust the 2Q2010 funding requirements. The total adjustment to the 2Q2010 funding requirement based on actual results will increase the funding needed by \$0.12 million. The explanation for the adjustment is provided in the following table:

Reason for the Prior Period Adjustment	Adjustment in Millions
The 4Q2009 billings were lower than the projected revenues	
reported by contributors in their quarterly revenue	
projections.	\$0.36
Interest income was lower than estimated for 4Q2009.	0.10
Bad debt expense was lower than anticipated.	(0.34)
Total Prior Period Adjustment	\$0.12

The total fund requirement of \$52.38 million, increased by the prior-period adjustment of \$0.12 million, increased for administrative expenses of \$2.82 million, and

decreased by projected interest income of \$0.33 million, results in a total projected funding

requirement for the Rural Health Care Support Mechanism for 2Q2010 of \$54.99 million.

SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES SUPPORT MECHANISM

Following is a summary of Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism net

commitments⁴⁶ and net authorized for payment⁴⁷ by funding year as of December 31, 2009.

	FUNDING YEAR 1998										
		Net Co	mmitments	I	Net Autl Pay	Auth/Com					
	Millions of Dollars				is of % of Total Millions of Authoriz				% of Committed Authorized for Payment		
Telecommunications	\$	675.66	39.84%	\$	507.57	36.28%	75.12%				
Internet Access	\$	134.14	7.91%	\$	94.83	6.78%	70.69%				
Internal Connections	\$	886.05	52.25%	\$	796.54	56.94%	89.90%				
TOTAL	\$	1,695.85	100.00%	\$ 1	1,398.94	100.00%	82.49%				
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$	(296.91)									
Net Commitments	\$	1,398.94									

FUNDING YEAR 1998

No Funding Year 1998 applications remained in the Program Integrity Assurance

(PIA) review process. Funding Year 1998 began on January 1, 1998 and ended on June 30,

1999. No payments were made to service providers during 4Q2009 for Funding Year 1998.

⁴⁶ Net Commitments are the amount of total funding commitments (including appeals, less funding commitment adjustments (COMADs) and other recaptures) reduced by the remaining dollar amount of commitments that had not been fully disbursed by their invoicing deadline.

⁴⁷ Net authorized for payment is the amount of total approved invoices less any returned funds.

		FUND	DING YEAR 19	99			
	Net Commitments				let Autl Pay	Auth/Com	
	Millions of Dollars				ions of ollars	% of Total Authorized for Payment	% of Committed Authorized for Payment
Telecommunications Internet Access	\$	633.66 148.78	29.51% 6.93%	\$ 4 \$	52.99 95.50	27.38% 5.77%	71.49%
Internal Connections	÷	1,364.98	63.56%		,105.74		81.01%
TOTAL	\$	2,147.42	100.00%	\$1	,654.23	100.00%	77.03%
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$	(493.11)					
Net Commitments	\$	1,654.31					

No Funding Year 1999 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 1999 began on July 1, 1999 and ended on June 30, 2000. No payments were made to

service providers during 4Q2009 for Funding Year 1999.

FUNDING YEAR 2000

	FUNDING YEAR 2000										
	Net Commitments				let Autl Pay	Auth/Com					
		Millions of % of Total M Dollars Commitments		Millions of Dollars		% of Total Authorized for Payment	% of Committed Authorized for Payment				
Telecommunications	\$	719.42	34.72%	\$	481.22	29.17%	66.89%				
Internet Access	\$	218.82	10.56%	\$	133.47	8.09%	61.00%				
Internal Connections	\$	1,133.95	54.72%	\$1	,034.76	62.74%	91.25%				
TOTAL	\$	2,072.19	100.00%	\$1	,649.45	100.00%	79.60%				
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$	(421.57)									
Net Commitments	\$	1,650.62									

No Funding Year 2000 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding

Year 2000 began on July 1, 2000 and ended on June 30, 2001.

Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and cumulative payments made to

service providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL01 and SL02, respectively.

		FUND	OING YEAR 20	01			
		Net Co	ommitments		Vet Aut Pay	Auth/Com	
							% of
							Committed
						% of Total	Authorized
		illions of	% of Total		lions of		for
	1	Dollars	Commitments	Dollars		for Payment	Payment
Telecommunications	\$	774.32	35.47%	\$	539.62	31.80%	69.69%
Internet Access	\$	224.84	10.30%	\$	149.42	8.80%	66.46%
Internal Connections	\$	1,183.97	54.23%	\$1	,007.95	59.40%	85.13%
TOTAL	\$	2,183.13	100.00%	\$1	,696.99	100.00%	77.73%
Deobligations due to Expired							
FRNs	\$	(484.32)					
Net Commitments	\$	1,698.81					

FUNDING YEAR 2001

As of December 31, 2009, two potentially fundable Funding Year 2001 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2001 began on July 1, 2001 and ended on June 30, 2002.

Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and cumulative payments made to service providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL03 and SL04, respectively.

	FUNDING YEAR 2002										
		Net Co	mmitments	N	Net Autl Pay	Auth/Com					
	Millions of Dollars				lions of ollars		% of Committed Authorized for Payment				
Telecommunications	\$	858.41	38.45%	\$	613.14	38.43%	71.43%				
Internet Access	\$	250.02	11.20%	\$	170.99	10.72%	68.39%				
Internal Connections	\$	1,123.95	50.35%	\$	811.31	50.85%	72.18%				
TOTAL	\$	2,232.38	100.00%	\$1	,595.44	100.00%	71.47%				
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$	(566.53)									
Net Commitments	\$	1,665.85									

As of December 31, 2009, two potentially fundable Funding Year 2002 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2002 began on July 1, 2002 and ended on June 30, 2003.

Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and cumulative payments to service providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL05 and SL06, respectively.

	FUNDING YEAR 2003										
		Net Co	mmitments	N	Vet Autl Pay	Auth/Com					
	Millions of Dollars				ions of ollars		% of Committed Authorized for Payment				
Telecommunications	\$	907.15	33.41%	\$	659.19		72.67%				
Internet Access Internal Connections	\$\$	276.05 1,532.23	10.17% 56.42%	\$ \$1	203.83		73.84% 70.74%				
TOTAL	\$	2,715.43	100.00%	\$1	,946.87	100.00%	71.70%				
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$	(739.46)									
Net Commitments	\$	1,975.97									

As of December 31, 2009, five potentially fundable Funding Year 2003 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2003 began on July 1, 2003 and ended on June 30, 2004.

Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and cumulative payments to service providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL07 and SL08, respectively.

	FUN	DING YEAR 2	004				
	Net Co	mmitments]	Net Aut Pay	Auth/Com		
	illions of Dollars	% of Total Commitments		% of To Millions of Authoriz Dollars for Paym		% of Committed Authorized for Payment	
_							
Telecommunications	\$ 946.69	42.34%	\$	688.35	45.04%	72.71%	
Internet Access	\$ 245.81	10.99%	\$	192.77	12.61%	78.42%	
Internal Connections	\$ 1,043.29	46.67%	\$	647.33	42.35%	62.05%	
TOTAL	\$ 2,235.79	100.00%	\$ 1	1,528.45	100.00%	68.36%	
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$ (676.64)						
Net Commitments	\$ 1,559.15						

As of December 31, 2009, 39 potentially fundable Funding Year 2004 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2004 began on July 1, 2004 and ended on June 30, 2005.

Funding commitments made to applicants during 4Q2009 are included in

Appendix SL09. Authorized funding by applicant during 4Q2009 and cumulative payments

to service providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL10 and SL11, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2005					
	Net C	ommitments		orized for ment	Auth/Com
	Millions o Dollars	f % of Total Commitments	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Authorized for Payment	% of Committed Authorized for Payment
Telecommunications	\$ 979.2		\$ 760.92	48.32%	77.70%
Internet Access Internal Connections	\$ 264.2 \$ 746.7		\$ 213.02 \$ 509.46	13.53% 32.35%	80.62% 68.22%
Internal Connections-Maint	\$ 133.8	1 6.30%	\$ 91.29	5.80%	68.22%
TOTAL	\$ 2,124.1	1 100.00%	\$1,574.69	100.00%	74.13%
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$ (430.2	3)			
Net Commitments	\$ 1,693.8	3			

As of December 31, 2009, 61 potentially fundable Funding Year 2005 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2005 began on July 1, 2005 and ended on June 30, 2006.

Funding commitments made to applicants during 4Q2009 are included in

Appendix SL12. Authorized funding by applicant and cumulative payments to service

providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL13 and SL14, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2006					
	Net Cor	nmitments		orized for ment	Auth/Com
	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Commitments	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Authorized for Payment	% of Committed Authorized for Payment
Telecommunications Internet Access	\$ 1,073.48 \$ 290.74	54.33% 14.71%	\$ 838.71 \$ 233.52	55.04% 15.32%	78.13% 80.32%
Internal Connections	\$ 290.74 \$ 487.59	24.68%	\$ 255.52 \$ 365.66	24.00%	74.99%
Internal Connections-Maint	\$ 124.18	6.28%	\$ 85.90	5.64%	69.17%
TOTAL	\$ 1,975.99	100.00%	\$1,523.79	100.00%	77.12%
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$ (391.82)				
Net Commitments	\$ 1,584.17				

As of December 31, 2009, 99 potentially fundable Funding Year 2006 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2006 began on July 1, 2006 and ended on June 30, 2007.

Funding commitments made to applicants during 4Q2009 are included in

Appendix SL15. Authorized funding by applicant and cumulative payments to service

providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL16 and SL17, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2007					
	Net Cor	nmitments		orized for ment	Auth/Com
	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Commitments	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Authorized for Payment	% of Committed Authorized for Payment
Telecommunications	\$ 1,166.93	48.09%	\$ 908.27	51.29%	77.83%
Internet Access Internal Connections	\$ 310.08 \$ 793.47	12.78% 32.70%	\$ 252.55 \$ 496.06	14.26% 28.01%	81.45% 62.52%
Internal Connections-Maint	\$ 156.28	6.43%	\$ 114.13	6.44%	73.03%
TOTAL	\$ 2,426.76	100.00%	\$1,771.01	100.00%	72.98%
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$ (352.29)				
Net Commitments	\$ 2,074.47				

As of December 31, 2009, 211 potentially fundable Funding Year 2007 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2007 began on July 1, 2007 and ended on June 30, 2008.

Funding commitments made to applicants during 4Q2009 are included in

Appendix SL18. Authorized funding by applicant and cumulative payments to service

providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL19 and SL20, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2008					
	Net Cor	nmitments		orized for ment	Auth/Com
	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Commitments	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Authorized for Payment	% of Committed Authorized for Payment
Telecommunications	\$ 1,270.55	51.88%	\$ 898.06	60.84%	70.68%
Internet Access Internal Connections	\$ 329.30 \$ 729.83	13.45% 29.80%	\$ 245.26 \$ 262.05	16.62% 17.75%	74.48% 35.91%
Internal Connections-Maint	\$ 119.39	4.87%	\$ 70.69	4.79%	59.21%
TOTAL	\$ 2,449.07	100.00%	\$1,476.06	100.00%	60.27%
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$ (243.11)				
Net Commitments	\$ 2,205.96				

As of December 31, 2009, 521 potentially fundable Funding Year 2008 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2008 began on July 1, 2008 and ended on June 30, 2009.

Funding commitments made to applicants during 4Q2009 are included in

Appendix SL21. Authorized funding by applicant and cumulative payments to service

providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL22 and SL23, respectively.

FUNDING YEAR 2009					
	Net Co	ommitments		norized for ment	Auth/Com
	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Commitments	Millions of Dollars	% of Total Authorized for Payment	% of Committed Authorized for Payment
Telecommunications Internet Access	\$ 1,047.72 \$ 286.19		\$ 56.38 \$ 37.56	47.09%	5.38% 13.12%
Internal Connections	\$ 200.15 \$ 492.63		\$ <u>37.30</u> \$ 9.51	7.94%	1.93%
Internal Connections-Maint	\$ 124.84	6.40%	\$ 16.29	13.60%	13.05%
TOTAL	\$ 1,951.38	3 100.00%	\$ 119.74	100.00%	6.14%
Deobligations due to Expired FRNs	\$ -				
Net Commitments	\$ 1,951.38	3			

As of December 31, 2009, 4,781 potentially fundable Funding Year 2009 applications remained in the PIA review process. Funding Year 2009 began on July 1, 2009 and will end on June 30, 2010.

Funding commitments made to applicants during 4Q2009 are included in Appendix SL24. Authorized funding by applicant and cumulative payments to service providers through 4Q2009 are listed in Appendices SL25 and SL26, respectively.

SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES SUPPORT MECHANISM SUMMARY

In a series of actions from December 1999 through December 2002, the Commission used a net total of \$477.16 million of undisbursed Funding Year 1998 Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism funds to reduce USF collection requirements. Consistent with the Commission's direction in the Schools and Libraries First Report and Order, a total of \$852.12 million of undisbursed Funding Years 1999 and 2000 collections were used to

stabilize USF contributions and offset collections for 3rd Quarter 2002 (3Q2002), 4th Quarter 2002 (4Q2002), and 1st Quarter 2003 (1Q2003).⁴⁸

In the Schools and Libraries Third Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Ralemaking, the Commission amended its rules to allow unused funds from prior funding years to be carried forward on an annual basis in the second quarter of each calendar year for use in the next full funding year.⁴⁹ The Commission required USAC to file quarterly estimates of unused funds from prior funding years in submitting its projection of Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism demand for the upcoming quarter. The Commission modified the schedule to implement the process for Funding Year 2003 and directed USAC to carry forward \$420 million of unused prior year funds for use in Funding Year 2003.⁵⁰ In accordance with the Schools and Libraries Third Report and Order, the Commission announced that \$150 million in unused funds from Funding Year 2001 would be carried forward to increase disbursements to schools and libraries in Funding Year 2004.⁵¹

On June 11, 2007, the Commission instructed USAC to carry forward \$650 million in unused funds to Funding Year 2007.⁵² The funds were carried forward from Funding Years 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004 in the amount of \$50 million, \$300 million, \$150 million and \$150 million, respectively. On June 23, 2008, the Commission instructed USAC to carry

⁴⁸ See Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-6, First Report and Order, FCC 02-175 (June 13, 2002) (Schools and Libraries First Report and Order).

⁴⁹ See Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket 02-6, Third Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 03-323 (December 23, 2003) (Schools and Libraries Third Report and Order).

⁵⁰ Id.

⁵¹ See Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-6, Carryover of Unused Funds for Funding Year 2004, DA 04-1848 (June 28, 2004).

⁵² See Schools and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-06, Wireline Competition Bureau Announces Carryover of Unused Funds for Funding Year 2007, DA 07-2470 (June 1, 2007).

forward \$600 million in unused funds to Funding Year 2008.⁵³ The funds were carried forward from Funding Years 2002, 2003 and 2004 in the amount of \$150 million, \$200 million and \$250 million, respectively. On July 31, 2009, the Commission instructed USAC to carry-forward \$900 million in unused funds to Funding Year 2009.⁵⁴ The funds were carried-forward from Funding Years 1999, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 in the amount of \$25 million, \$60 million, \$210 million, \$275 million, \$200 million, and \$70 million, respectively.

The following sections provide information regarding the use of funds for each funding year, including adjustments made by the Commission and projections of unused funds as required by Commission rules.

Funding Year 1998 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,398.94 million of Funding Year 1998 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC estimates that a contingency amount of \$7.11 million should be reserved to pay appeals pending at the Commission and to pay invoices on Funding Year 1998 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements and to preserve the contingency amount, USAC estimates that no Funding Year 1998 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 1998 balance is determined as follows:

⁵³ See Schools and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-06, Carryover of Unused Federal Universal Service Funds for Funding Year 2008, DA 08-1470 (rel. June 23, 2008).

⁵⁴ See Schools and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-06, Carryover of Unused Federal Universal Service Funds for Funding Year 2009, DA 09-1734 (rel. July 31, 2009).

FUNDING YEAR 1998	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$1,925.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,398.94)
Administrative Expenses (21 months)	(41.79)
Amount Applied to Adjust 2000, 2001, and 2002 Collections	(477.16)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	0.00
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(7.11)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$0.00

Funding Year 1999 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,654.23 million of Funding Year 1999 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of the remaining Funding Year 1999 funds includes an estimate of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and make disbursements on Funding Year 1999 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements and to preserve the contingency amount, USAC estimates that no Funding Year 1999 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 1999 balance is determined as follows:

FUNDING YEAR 1999	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,654.23)
Administrative Expenses	(32.32)
Amount Applied to Adjust Third Quarter 2002 Collections	(256.16)
Amount Applied to Adjust Fourth Quarter 2002 Collections	(212.93)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2003	(50.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2009	(25.00)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(0.09)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(19.27)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$0.00

Funding Year 2000 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,649.45 million of Funding Year 2000 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of the remaining Funding Year 2000 funds

includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on remaining requests that have not received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and make disbursements on Funding Year 2000 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements and to preserve the contingency amount, USAC estimates that no Funding Year 2000 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2000 balance is determined as follows:

FUNDING YEAR 2000	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,649.45)
Administrative Expenses	(32.24)
Amount Applied to Adjust Fourth Quarter 2002 Collections	(136.85)
Amount Applied to Adjust First Quarter 2003 Collections	(246.18)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2003	(160.00)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(1.18)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(24.10)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$0.00

Funding Year 2001 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,696.99 million of Funding Year 2001 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of the remaining Funding Year 2001 funds includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on remaining requests that have not yet received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and make disbursements on Funding Year 2001 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements, USAC estimates that no Funding Year 2001 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2001 balance is determined as follows:

FUNDING YEAR 2001	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,696.99)
Administrative Expenses	(30.56)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2003	(210.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2004	(150.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2007	(50.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2009	(60.00)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(1.82)
Remaining Uncommitted Requests	(20.33)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(30.30)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$0.00

Funding Year 2002 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,595.44 million of Funding Year 2002 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of the remaining Funding Year 2002 funds includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on requests that have not yet received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and make disbursements on Funding Year 2002 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements, USAC estimates that no Funding Year 2002 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2002 balance is determined as follows:

FUNDING YEAR 2002	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,595.44)
Administrative Expenses	(38.53)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2007	(300.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2008	(150.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2009	(60.00)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(70.42)
Remaining Uncommitted Requests	(0.93)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(34.68)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$0.00

Funding Year 2003 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,946.87 million of Funding Year 2003 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of the remaining Funding Year 2003 funds includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on requests that have not yet received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and to make disbursements on Funding Year 2003 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements, USAC estimates that no Funding Year 2003 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2003 balance is determined as follows:

FUNDING YEAR 2003	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,946.87)
Amount Carried Forward from Funding Years 1999, 2000 and	
2001	420.00
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2007	(150.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2008	(200.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2009	(210.00)
Administrative Expenses	(44.19)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(29.10)
Remaining Uncommitted Requests	(33.07)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(56.77)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$0.00

Funding Year 2004 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,528.45 million of Funding Year 2004 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of the remaining Funding Year 2004 funds includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on requests that have not yet received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and to make disbursements on Funding Year 2004 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements, USAC estimates that no Funding Year 2004 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2004 balance is determined as follows:

FUNDING YEAR 2004	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,528.45)
Amount Carried Forward from Funding Year 2001	150.00
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2007	(150.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2008	(250.00)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2009	(275.00)
Administrative Expenses	(55.75)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(30.70)
Remaining Uncommitted Requests	(33.50)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(76.60)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$0.00

Funding Year 2005 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,574.69 million of Funding Year 2005 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of the remaining Funding Year 2005 funds includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on requests that have not yet received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and to make disbursements on Funding Year 2005 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements and to preserve the contingency amounts, USAC estimates that \$75.00 million of Funding Year 2005 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2005 balance is based on the following:

FUNDING YEAR 2005	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,574.69)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2009	(200.00)
Administrative Expenses	(64.99)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(119.15)
Remaining Uncommitted Requests	(108.49)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(107.68)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$75.00

Funding Year 2006 True-Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,523.79 million of Funding Year 2006 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of the remaining Funding Year 2006 funds includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on requests that have not yet received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and to make disbursements on Funding Year 2006 funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements and to preserve the contingency amounts, USAC estimates that \$150.00 million of Funding Year 2006 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2006 balance is based on the following:

FUNDING YEAR 2006	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,523.79)
Amount Carried Forward to Funding Year 2009	(70.00)
Administrative Expenses	(80.74)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(60.39)
Remaining Uncommitted Requests	(223.36)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(141.72)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$150.00

Funding Year 2007 True Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,771.01 million of Funding Year 2007 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of remaining Funding Year 2007 funds includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on requests that have not yet received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and to make disbursements on funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements and to preserve the contingency amount, USAC estimates that \$275.00 million of Funding Year 2007 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2007 balance is based on the following:

FUNDING YEAR 2007	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,771.01)
Amount Carried Forward from Funding Years 2001, 2002,	
2003 and 2004	650.00
Administrative Expenses	(81.24)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(303.47)
Remaining Uncommitted Requests	(212.54)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(256.74)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$275.00

Funding Year 2008 True Up

As of December 31, 2009, \$1,476.06 million of Funding Year 2008 support had been authorized for disbursement. USAC's projection of remaining Funding Year 2008 funds includes estimates of funds needed to pay additional disbursements on committed funding requests and disbursements on requests that have not yet received funding commitments, as well as a contingency reserve to pay pending appeals and to make disbursements on funding requests that may be granted extended invoice deadlines pursuant to Commission orders. Given these requirements and to preserve the contingency amount, USAC estimates that no Funding Year 2008 funds are available to carry forward. The estimated remaining Funding Year 2008 balance is based on the following:

FUNDING YEAR 2008	Amounts in Millions
Amount Authorized and Actually Collected	\$2,250.00
Amount Authorized for Disbursement	(1,476.06)
Amount Carried Forward from Funding Years 2002, 2003,	
and 2004	600.00
Administrative Expenses	(125.59)
Potential Additional Disbursements on Committed FRNs	(729.90)
Remaining Uncommitted Requests	(338.63)
Contingency Amount for Pending Appeals and Invoice	
Deadline Extension Requests	(179.82)
Estimated Remaining Balance	\$0.00

2Q2010 Demand Estimate and Contribution Requirement

USAC changed the accounting methodology for the USF to generally accepted accounting principles for federal agencies on October 1, 2004 to comply with the Commission's order of October 3, 2003.⁵⁵ Commission staff subsequently determined that Funding Commitment Decision Letters (FCDLs) for the Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism are to be treated as "obligations" for federal budgetary accounting purposes and subject to the requirements of the Antideficiency Act (ADA).⁵⁶ The ADA generally requires that sufficient unobligated resources be available before an obligation can be incurred. Congress exempted the USF from the requirements of the ADA through December 31, 2010.⁵⁷

To reduce the USF cash reserve that has accumulated primarily because the structure of the program necessarily results in significant time lags between the commitments and

⁵⁵ See In re Application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for Federal Agencies and Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards to the Universal Service Fund, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, FCC 03-232, 18 FCC Rcd 19911 (2003).

⁵⁶ 31 U.S.C. § 1341.

⁵⁷ See H.R. 3288, Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-117), §501 ("Section 302 of the Universal Service Antideficiency Temporary Suspension Act is amended by striking 'December 31, 2009', each place it appears and inserting 'December 31, 2010'."). See also H.R. 5419, 108th Cong 2d Sess., §302, Universal Service Antideficiency Temporary Suspension Act (effective Dec. 23, 2004) and H.R. 2862, 109th Cong 1st Sess., 8,633, Science, State, Justice, Commerce, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006 (effective Nov. 22, 2005); H.J. 20 (effective January 1, 2007); Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2008, H.R. 2764, Division D–Financial Services and General Government Appropriations Act, 2008, Title V–Independent Agencies, at 61.

actual disbursements while at the same time retaining the ability for the USF to satisfy all outstanding commitments based on historic disbursement patterns, the Commission applied a total of \$550 million of the undisbursed USF balance from prior years against Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism demand in 2004. The Commission applied \$200 million of the cash balance in both Second Quarter and Third Quarter 2004, and \$150 million in Fourth Quarter 2004.⁵⁸

Based on the level of applicant demand in Funding Year 2009, which exceeds the annual funding cap of \$2.25 billion, USAC requests authority to collect an amount to provide \$562.50 million in funding for 2Q2010, which is one-quarter of the \$2.25 billion annual cap on federal universal service support for schools and libraries. Results for 4Q2009 contribute to an under-funded condition for which this filing proposes to adjust the 2Q2010 requirements. The total adjustment to the 2Q2010 fund requirement based on actual results will increase the funding needed by \$1.54 million. The explanation for the adjustment is provided below.

Reason for the Prior Period Adjustment	Adjustment in Millions
The 4Q2009 billings were lower than the projected revenues	
reported by contributors in their quarterly revenue	
projections.	\$ 3.38
Interest income was lower than estimated for 4Q2009.	2.71
Bad debt expense was lower than anticipated.	(4.55)
Total Prior Period Adjustment	\$ 1.54

The fund requirement of \$562.50 million, which includes administrative expenses of \$18.92 million, increased by a prior-period adjustment of \$1.54 million, reduced by projected interest income of \$3.54 million, results in a projected collection requirement of \$560.50 million for the Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism for 2Q2010.

⁵⁸ As stated in its January 31, 2004, Federal Universal Service Support Mechanisms Fund Size Projections for the Second Quarter 2005, and in its subsequent quarterly filings, USAC will continue to consult with the Commission as appropriate concerning the necessity and timing of any recovery of this \$550 million.

CONTRIBUTION BASE

USAC collects quarterly interstate and international revenue information from carriers on FCC Form 499-Q four times each year. USAC uses this information to determine aggregate projected revenue collections, which are filed with the Commission on March 2, June 1, September 1, and December 2 each year.⁵⁹ Based on these filings, the Commission establishes the contribution factor for the upcoming quarter.

The quarterly USF contribution factor established by the Commission is derived from projections of USF demand calculated by USAC and projected collected revenue submitted by contributors on FCC Form 499-Q and aggregated by USAC. The total USF funding requirement is based on many inputs and is the result of the manner in which the programs operate pursuant to Commission rules. Inputs include actual program demand, which changes quarterly, adjustments resulting from events that occur in prior periods but are reported to USAC in the current period, and reconciliation of projections from prior periods to actual results reported by program participants (i.e., prior period adjustments). Other inputs include USAC administrative expenses and the impact of interest income earned on USF funds USAC invests in United States Treasury securities. The USF contribution base is different each quarter due to changes in carrier revenue projections, which among other things are subject to changing business cycles, and changes to requirements concerning the revenue to which the USF assessment applies (e.g., adding or subtracting certain types of services to the contribution base due to rule changes or other Commission activity). Because of the combined influence of these many factors, nearly all of which change each quarter based on the manner in which the Commission rules operate

⁵⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.709(a)(3). The Form 499-Q includes a box for each of the quarterly filing submissions. Carriers check the appropriate box to indicate the quarter for which revenue information is being reported. See also, 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.706, .708, .711 and .713 for contributor reporting requirements.

and the programs are administered, the quarterly contribution factor established by the Commission derived from these USAC-submitted inputs can fluctuate substantially from quarter to quarter. Thus, comparisons to preceding or prior year quarters should be made with caution and predicting trends based on such comparisons may not provide a basis for meaningful analysis.

Approximately 6,161 FCC Form 499-Q notifications were distributed to carriers in early January 2010. Interstate telecommunications service providers are required to complete this form, reporting April - June 2010 projected revenue information, and return it to USAC by February 1, 2010. USAC invoices and receives contributions from more than 2,500 telecommunications companies each month.

USAC continues to assist the Commission in its USF collection enforcement efforts, including providing documentation on a monthly basis to assist the Commission in issuing Notices of Apparent Liability (NALs) and forfeiture orders against delinquent contributors and companies failing to file required forms. USAC transfers to the Commission amounts owed to the USF that are 90 days delinquent after USAC has provided delinquent contributors with the notice required under Commission rules and the Debt Collection Improvement Action (DCIA).⁶⁰ Upon receipt of the outstanding debts, the Commission provides delinquent contributors with notice advising them of their USF debt and the possibility of further enforcement activities pursuant to the DCIA and/or by the Commission's Enforcement Bureau. If a delinquent contributor fails to respond to the United States Treasury Department for collection.

^{60 31} U.S.C. §§ 3701 et seq.

USAC implemented the Red Light Rule on November 1, 2004 for contributor debts to the USF.⁶¹ If a contributor is delinquent to the USF, USAC performs administrative offsets to resolve the delinquency by netting any pending service provider disbursements where the Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN) shares the same Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) as the delinquent contributor. If an entity is delinquent on a debt owed to the Commission, USAC places administrative holds on any disbursements for SPINs that share the same TIN until the Commission provides information stating that the debt has been satisfied. USAC continues to work closely with the Commission and stakeholders affected by the Red Light Rule implementation.

AUTHORIZATION TO FILE WITH THE COMMISSION

At their January 25, 2010 meetings, USAC's High Cost & Low Income, Rural Health Care and Schools & Libraries Committees adopted resolutions authorizing USAC staff to file with the Commission the 2Q2010 projected support mechanism funding requirements described herein. At its January 26, 2010 meeting, the USAC Board of Directors adopted a resolution authorizing the inclusion of the projected 2Q2010 administrative expenses in this report to the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY

<u>/s/ D. Scott Barash</u> D. Scott Barash, Acting Chief Executive Officer W.B. Erwin, Vice President of Finance

January 29, 2010

^{61 47} C.F.R. § 1.910.